

### **REMARKS**

Applicants would initially like to acknowledge, with appreciation, the helpful and constructive interview granted to the undersigned by Examiner Daniels on June 16, 2010. Independent Claims 22 and 34 have been amended to further clarify the invention being claimed. Claim 31 has been cancelled. After cancellation of Claim 31, the pending claims of the present application are Claims 1-14, 17-29, and 32-41. As discussed during the interview, Applicants submit that the Amendments set forth herein are properly entered under 37 CFR § 1.116(b)(2) and/or (3), as these Amendments place all claims in condition for immediate allowance as agreed during the interview on June 16, 2010. Applicants request reconsideration and allowance of the application and Claims 1-14, 17-29, and 32-41 in view of the Amendments and Remarks set forth herein, which Applicants consider to be a summary of the matters discussed during the interview as required by 37 CFR § 1.133(b).

In the final Office Action, Claims 22-29 and 32-41 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Japanese Publication Number JP 2003-345255 to Koshu in view of Japanese Publication Number JP 2002-318405 to Dobashi. Additionally, in the final Office Action, Claims 1-14 and 17-21 were allowed, and Claim 31 was objected to as dependent from a rejected based claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. The following is the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

“ . . . the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for securing a mobile terminal including a housing and an imaging device disposed within the housing for capturing an image through a lens, the securing apparatus comprising a sheet having an upper surface, lower surface and an adhesive, wherein the adhesive does not substantially retain its adhesiveness upon removal from the mobile terminal housing or lens such that the sheet cannot substantially be re-applied once removed”

Applicants have amended independent Claims 22 and 34 to contain the above-recited allowable subject matter. As discussed and agreed to during the interview, because independent Claims 22 and 34 include the allowable subject matter as indicated in the Examiner's reasons for allowance, independent Claims 22 and 34, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, are allowable.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under Section 103 of Claims 22-29 and 32-41 is respectfully solicited.

\* \* \* \* \*

### **Conclusion**

In view of the amended claims and the remarks presented above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the present claims of the application are in condition for immediate allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that a Notice of Allowance be issued. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to resolve any remaining issues in order to expedite examination of the present application.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 13-4365.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Brian Drozd  
Registration No. 55,130

**CUSTOMER NUMBER 54494**  
**MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC**  
430 Davis Drive, Suite 500  
Post Office Box 13706  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709  
Tel Triangle Office (919) 286-8000  
Fax Triangle Office (919) 286-8199

**Electronically filed via the EFS-Web Electronic Filing System of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 13, 2010.**